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Malink builds on these insights in developing a semantics for Aristotle's modal propositions, one that verifies the ancient philosopher's claims of the validity and invalidity of modal inferences. While it substantially modifies some limitations of the reconstruction, Aristotelian Modal Logic's limits are bold, richly supported by close readings of the Greek texts.
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Aristotle's modal logic has been a subject of study since the era of the Empedocles. In *Essence and Necessity* (1952), Malink examines the philosophical implications of Aristotle's modal logic. Malink concludes that the key to understanding Aristotle's views on the essence of predication is the logic of modal syllogistic. In his book *The Syllogism* (1981), Malink presents a formal reconstruction of Aristotle's modal syllogistic, which he argues is essential for understanding the nature of valid reasoning. Malink's work has been influential and has been cited in a number of works on the subject.
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Aristotle was a Greek philosopher and writer, known as the founder of logic and metaphysics. In the *Prior Analytics*, he developed a complex system of modal syllogistic. This system was influential and has been discussed and debated since antiquity. Malink builds on these insights in developing a semantics for Aristotle's modal propositions, one that verifies the ancient philosopher's claims of the validity and invalidity of modal inferences. While it substantially modifies some limitations of the reconstruction, Aristotelian Modal Logic's limits are bold, richly supported by close readings of the Greek texts.
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Aristotelian Logic and the Arabic Language in Alfarabi

This book reflects the lively international character of Aristotelian studies, drawing contributors from Europe, North America, and Asia. It also reflects the broad range of activity Aristotelian studies comprise today, informed by cutting-edge philosophical analysis. It includes both monographs and essays on all aspects of Aristotle’s thought, from his metaphysics to his ethics, his logic to his physics.

This book investigates Aristotle’s views on abstraction and hence how to save them. In this work, the author follows Aristotle in focusing on the scientific detail and does not argue for a modal semantics, and claims to create a reconstruction of Aristotle’s modal logic as a way to explain the details of his view of the world. The author also argues that Aristotle developed the concept of modal logic in order to explain the details of the best kind of life for a human being and the kind of political community needed to enable and encourage that kind of life.
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